

# On Fuzzy and Anti Fuzzy $\Gamma$ -Ideals in $\Gamma$ -CI-Algebras

Pairote Yiarayong

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phitsanuloke 65000, Thailand  
Email: pairote0027 [AT] hotmail.com

---

**ABSTRACT**— *The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras, we study  $\Gamma$ -ideals, upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras. Those are extended from upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals in CI-algebras respectively. Some characterizations of  $\Gamma$ -ideals, upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras are obtained. Moreover, we investigate the relationships between fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras.*

**Keywords**—  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra,  $\Gamma$ -ideal, upper set, fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal, anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In what follows, let  $X$  denote an CI-algebra unless otherwise specified. By an CI-algebra we mean an algebra  $(X; *, 1)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  with a single binary operation “ $*$ ” that satisfies the following identities: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

1.  $x * x = 1$ ,
2.  $1 * x = x$ ,
3.  $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$ .

In, 2006, H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim defined a BE-algebra. Biao Long Meng, defined notion of CI-algebra as a generation of a BE-algebra [9]. BE-algebras and CI-algebras are studied in detail by some researchers [3, 7, 9, 11] and some fundamental properties of CI-algebra are discussed.

The concept of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras was first given by Pairote Yiarayong and Phakakorn Panpho in [12] which is infact the generalization of a CI-algebras.

In this paper is to introduce the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras, we study  $\Gamma$ -ideals, upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras. Those are extended from upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals in CI-algebras respectively. Some characterizations of  $\Gamma$ -ideals, upper sets, fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras are obtained. Moreover, we investigate the relationships between fuzzy and anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebras.

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section we refer to [12, 13] for some elementary aspects and quote few definitions and examples which are essential to step up this study. For more detail we refer to the papers in the references.

**Definition 2.1.** [12, 13] Let  $X$  and  $\Gamma$  be any nonempty sets. If there exists a mapping  $X \times \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$  written as  $(x, \gamma, y)$  by  $x\gamma y$ , then  $(\Gamma, X; 1)$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra if

1.  $x\gamma x = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
2.  $1\gamma x = x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
3.  $x\gamma(y\beta z) = y\gamma(x\beta z)$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [12] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. Then  $x\gamma y = x\beta y$  for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [12] Any  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  satisfies for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $y\gamma[(y\alpha x)\beta x] = 1$

**Proposition 2.4.** [12] Any  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  satisfies for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $(x\gamma 1)\beta(y\gamma 1) = (x\gamma y)\beta 1$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [12] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. If  $x\gamma(x\beta y) = x\beta y$  for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $x\gamma 1 = 1$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [13] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and  $A$  a nonempty subset of  $X$ .  $A$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra of  $X$  if  $AA \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [13] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and  $A$  a nonempty subset of  $X$ .  $A$  is said to be a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies:  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$

1.  $X\Gamma A \subseteq A$ ,
2.  $a, b \in A$  imply that  $(a\gamma(b\beta x))\alpha x \in A$ .

For any  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$ ,  $\{1\}$  and  $X$  are trivial ideals (resp.  $\Gamma$ -subalgebras) of  $X$ . Obviously every ideal in a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra is a  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra.

**Lemma 2.8.** [13] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. Then

- (1) Every  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$  contains 1,
- (2) If  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $(a\gamma x)\beta x \in A$  for all  $a \in A, x \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.9.**[13] A  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -transitive if for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda \in \Gamma$ ,  $(y\gamma z)\alpha((x\beta y)\delta(x\lambda z)) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [13] A  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $(\Gamma, X; 1)$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -self-distributive if  $x\gamma(y\beta z) = (x\gamma y)\beta(x\gamma z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [13] Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and  $a, b \in X, \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Define  $A(a\gamma, b\beta)$  by

$$A(a\gamma, b\beta) = \{1\} \cup \{x \in X : a\gamma(b\beta x) = 1\}.$$

We call  $A(a\gamma, b\beta)$  an upper set of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Remark.**

1. By Definition 2.12, we have  $A(a\gamma, b\beta) = A(b\gamma, a\beta)$  for all  $a, b \in X$  and  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .
2. By Definition 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\gamma(b\alpha a) &= b\gamma(a\alpha a) \\ &= b\gamma 1 \\ &= b\gamma(1\alpha 1) \\ &= (b\gamma 1)\alpha(b\gamma 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1.$$

Then  $a \in A(a\gamma, b\alpha)$ .

### 3. FUZZY and ANTI FUZZY $\Gamma$ -IDEALS IN $\Gamma$ -CI-ALGEBRAS

The results of the following lemmas seem to play an important role to study  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra; these facts will be used frequently and normally we shall make no reference to this definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of the set  $X$  is a mapping  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ . The complement of  $\mu$  denoted by  $\mu^c$ , is the fuzzy set in  $X$  given by  $\mu^c(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$  if

1.  $\mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
2.  $\mu((x\gamma(y\alpha z))\beta z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \in X$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$ .

**Proof.** By Definition 3.2, we get  $\mu(1) = \mu(x\gamma x) \geq \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) \geq \mu(x)$

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) &= \mu((x\gamma(1\beta y))\alpha y) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(1)\} \\ &= \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq y$  then  $x\gamma y = 1$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= \mu(1\alpha y) \\ &= \mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) \\ &\leq \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \leq y, \mu(x\gamma z) \geq \min\{\mu(x\alpha(y\beta z)), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq y$  then  $x\beta y = 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= \mu(1\gamma y) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(1\alpha(x\beta y)), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(1\alpha 1), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(1), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then

$$\mu(x\gamma z) \geq \min\{\mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y)\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $X$  is  $\Gamma$ -transitive, we have  $((y\gamma z)\alpha z)\beta((x\beta(y\gamma z))\alpha(x\beta z)) = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x\gamma z) &= \mu(1(x\gamma z)) \\ &= \mu(((y\gamma z)\alpha z)\beta((x\beta(y\gamma z))\alpha(x\beta z))(x\gamma z)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu((y\gamma z)\alpha z), \mu((x\beta(y\gamma z)))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu((x\beta(y\gamma z)))\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(x\gamma z) \geq \min\{\mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y)\}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -self-distributive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then

$$\mu(x\gamma z) \geq \min\{\mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y)\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda \in \Gamma$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (y\gamma z)\lambda((x\alpha y)\delta(x\beta z)) &= ((y\gamma z)\lambda(x\alpha y))\delta((y\gamma z)\lambda(x\beta z)) \\ &= ((x\lambda((y\gamma z)\alpha y))\delta(x\lambda((y\gamma z)\beta z))) \\ &= x\lambda(((y\gamma z)\alpha y)\delta((y\gamma z)\beta z)) \\ &= x\lambda((y\gamma z)\delta(y\alpha z)) \\ &= x\lambda 1 \\ &= x\lambda(x\lambda x) \\ &= (x\lambda x)\lambda(x\lambda x) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. By Theorem 3.7, we get  $\mu(x\gamma z) \geq \min\{\mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y)\}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$  if

1.  $\mu(x\gamma y) \leq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
2.  $\mu((x\gamma(y\alpha z))\beta z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \in X$ . If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$ .

**Proof.** By Definition 3.9, we get  $\mu(1) = \mu(x\gamma x) \leq \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) \geq \mu(x)$

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) &= \mu((x\gamma(1\beta y))\alpha y) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(1)\} \\ &= \mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq y$  then  $x\gamma y = 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= \mu(1\alpha y) \\ &= \mu((x\gamma y)\alpha y) \\ &\geq \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra and let  $x \leq y, \mu(x\gamma z) \leq \max\{\mu(x\alpha(y\beta z)), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq y$  then  $x\beta y = 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y) &= \mu(1\gamma y) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu(1\alpha(x\beta y)), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \max\{\mu(1\alpha 1), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \max\{\mu(1), \mu(x)\} \\ &= \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then

$$\mu(x\gamma z) \leq \max \{ \mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y) \},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $X$  is  $\Gamma$ -transitive, we have  $((y\gamma z)\alpha z)\beta((x\beta(y\gamma z))\alpha(x\beta z)) = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x\gamma z) &= \mu(1(x\gamma z)) \\ &= \mu(((y\gamma z)\alpha z)\beta((x\beta(y\gamma z))\alpha(x\beta z))(x\gamma z)) \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu((y\gamma z)\alpha z), \mu((x\beta(y\gamma z))) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu(y), \mu((x\beta(y\gamma z))) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(x\gamma z) \leq \max \{ \mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y) \}$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -self-distributive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. If  $\mu$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ , then

$$\mu(x\gamma z) \leq \max \{ \mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y) \},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI- algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda \in \Gamma$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (y\gamma z)\lambda((x\alpha y)\delta(x\beta z)) &= ((y\gamma z)\lambda(x\alpha y))\delta((y\gamma z)\lambda(x\beta z)) \\ &= ((x\lambda((y\gamma z)\alpha y))\delta(x\lambda((y\gamma z)\beta z))) \\ &= x\lambda(((y\gamma z)\alpha y)\delta((y\gamma z)\beta z)) \\ &= x\lambda((y\gamma z)\delta(y\alpha z)) \\ &= x\lambda 1 \\ &= x\lambda(x\lambda x) \\ &= (x\lambda x)\lambda(x\lambda x) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -transitive  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. By Theorem 3.14, we get  $\mu(x\gamma z) \leq \max \{ \mu(x\beta(y\gamma z)), \mu(y) \}$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra. Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $\mu^c$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -CI-algebra  $X$  and let  $x, y, z \in X, \gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^c(x\gamma y) &= 1 - \mu(x\gamma y) \\ &\leq 1 - \mu(y) \\ &\leq \mu^c(y) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\mu^c(x\gamma y) \leq \mu^c(y)$ . Since  $\mu((x\gamma(y\alpha z))\beta z) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^c\left(\left(x\gamma(y\alpha z)\right)\beta z\right) &= 1-\mu\left(\left(x\gamma(y\alpha z)\right)\beta z\right) \\ &\leq 1-\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \\ &= 1-\min\{1-\mu^c(x), 1-\mu^c(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\mu^c(x), \mu^c(y)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mu^c$  is an anti fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -ideal of  $X$ . The converse also can be proved similarly.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for stimulating comments and improving presentation of the paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahn S.S, Kim Y.H., So K.S., “Fuzzy BE-algebras. Journal of applied mathematics and informatics”, vol. 29, 1049-1057, 2011.
- [2] Ahn S.S., So Y.H., “On ideals and upper sets in BE-algebras”, Sci. Math. Jpn. Online e-2008, vol. 2, 279-285, 2008.
- [3] Borumand Saeid A., Rezaei A., “Quotient CI-algebras”, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Bra,sov, vol. 5, no. 54, 15-22, 2012.
- [4] Hu Q.P., Li X., “On BCH-algebras”, Math.Seminar Notes, vol. 11, 313-320, 1983.
- [5] Hu Q. P., Li X., “On proper BCH-algebras”, Math Japonica, vol. 30, 659-661, 1985.
- [6] Iseki K., Tanaka S., “An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras”, Math Japonica, vol. 23, 1-20, 1978.
- [7] Kim K.H., “A Note on CI-algebras”, International Mathematical Forum, vol. 6, no. 1, 1-5, 2011.
- [8] Kim H.S., Kim Y.H., “On BE-Algebras”, Sci. Math. Jpn., vol. 66, no. 1, 1299-1302, 2006.
- [9] Meng B.L., “CI-algebra”, Sci. Math. Jpn. vol. 71, no. 2, 695-701, 2010.
- [10] Neggers J., Ahn S.S., Kim H.S., “On q-algebras”, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., vol. 27, no. 12, 749-757, 2001.
- [11] Piekart B., Andrzej Walendziak A., “On filters and upper sets in CI-algebras”, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 11, no. 1, 109-115, 2011.
- [12] Yiarayong P., Panpho P., “On  $\Gamma$ -CI-Algebras”, Asian Journal of Applied Sciences, vol. 2, no. 6, 952-956, 2014.
- [13] Yiarayong P., Panpho P., “On  $\Gamma$ -CI-Algebras”, Asian Journal of Applied Sciences, vol. 3, no. 2, 307-315, 2015.