

The First Isomorphism Theorem on QI-algebras

Lee Sassanapitax

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Burapha University
Chonburi, Thailand
Email: lee.sa [AT] buu.ac.th

ABSTRACT—The aim of this paper is to construct the first isomorphism theorem of QI-homomorphism of QI-algebras. The concepts of normal QI-subalgebras and quotient QI-algebras are also investigated.

Keywords— QI-algebra, homomorphism, isomorphism, normal, quotient

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1966, the concept of *BCK-algebras* was introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [4]. Moreover, K. Iseki [5] gave the definition of *BCI-algebras* in 1980. Both of them play an important role in the study of logical algebras. Afterwards, several structures of algebras such as *BH-algebras* [6], *TM-algebras* [7] and *KU-algebras* [12] were introduced and investigated. The fundamental concepts of abstract algebra such as ideals, congruences and homomorphisms were also studied on those algebraic structures (see [1], [11], [13]). Furthermore, many generalizations of BCK-algebras were introduced by several researchers. Some examples of such algebras are *BH-algebras* [8], *B-algebras* [9] and *Q-algebras* [10]. It turns out that many properties of these kind of algebras were extensively investigated. In 2017, A. B. Saeid, H. S. Kim and A. Razaeei proposed a new algebra which is a generalization of implicative BCK-algebras, called a *BI-algebra* [14]. They provided the basic properties of BI-algebras and discussed about ideals and congruence relations. The properties of ideals of BI-algebras were continuously investigated in [2]. Lately, the notion of *QI-algebras*, which is a generalization of BI-algebras, was introduced by R. K. Bandaru [3]. The concept of ideals and some basic properties were also considered. One can see more examples of research papers in this area in [15-18].

In this paper, we gave the concept of QI-homomorphisms of QI-algebras and investigated some relate properties. The relations between QI-isomorphisms and quotient QI-algebras are also provided.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we begin with the definition of a QI-algebra which is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$, i.e., a nonempty set X equipped with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 . We also recall some notions and properties of QI-algebras.

Definition 2.1. [3] An algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is called a *QI-algebra* if

$$(Q1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(Q2) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(Q3) \quad x * (y * (x * y)) = x * y,$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

The relation “ \leq ” on a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. From (QI1), we can immediately conclude that \leq is reflexive, however \leq is not a partially ordered relation.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0

Then, by using computer programming, it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI-algebra.

Definition 2.3. [3] A QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be *right distributive* or *left distributive*, respectively if

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z) \text{ or } z * (x * y) = (z * x) * (z * y),$$

respectively, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 2.4. Notice that a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ in Example 2.2 is not a right distributive since

$$(1 * 1) * 1 = 0 * 1 = 2 \neq 0 = 0 * 0 = (1 * 1) * (1 * 1),$$

and $(X, *, 0)$ is not left distributive QI-algebra since

$$2 * (1 * 0) = 2 * 1 = 2 \neq 0 = 2 * 2 = (2 * 1) * (2 * 0).$$

Example 2.5. [3] Let $Y = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*'	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	0	1	0

Then it is easy to check that $(Y, *', 0)$ is a right distributive QI-algebra.

Proposition 2.6. [3] Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a QI-algebra.

- (i) If X is a left distributive QI-algebra, then $X = \{0\}$.
- (ii) If X is a right distributive QI-algebra, then $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.7. [3] Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a QI-algebra and I be a subset of X . Then I is called an *(QI-)ideal* of X if it satisfies the following:

- (I1) $0 \in X$,
- (I2) for each $x, y \in X$, if $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ then $x \in I$.

Example 2.8. [3] Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	0
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	2	1	0

Then it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI-algebra. Note that $I_1 = \{0, 1\}$ and $I_2 = \{0, 1, 3\}$ are ideals but $I_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not an ideal of X .

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we give the definition of normal QI-subalgebra, congruence relation and QI-homomorphism of QI-algebra. Note that such definitions were provided analogue to the definitions on BI-algebras given in [2]. The first isomorphism theorem on QI-algebras is proven at the end of this section.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a QI-algebra. A nonempty subset S of X is called a *QI-subalgebra* of X if it is closed under the operation $*$, i.e., $x * y \in S$ for any $x, y \in S$.

Note that every QI-subalgebra contains 0 since it is nonempty and the axiom (QI1).

Definition 3.2. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a QI-algebra. A nonempty subset N of X is called a *normal subset* of X if for each $x, y, a, b \in X$, $x * y, a * b \in N$ implies $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$.

Proposition 3.3. Let N be a normal subset of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then N is a QI-subalgebra of X .

Proof. Assume that N is a normal subset of X . Let $x, y \in N$. Then $x * 0 = x \in N$ and $y * 0 = y \in N$. Since N is normal subset of X , it follows that $x * y = (x * y) * (0 * 0) \in N$. Hence N is closed under $*$. Thus N is a QI-subalgebra of X .

□

From the above proposition, we will call a normal subset of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ a *normal QI-subalgebra* X . In general, the converse of Proposition 3.3 does not hold as it was shown in the following examples.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	2
3	3	0	3	0

Then, by using computer programming, it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI-algebra. Notice that $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is a QI-subalgebra of X but it is not normal since $3 * 3 = 0 \in A$, $2 * 3 = 2 \in A$ but $(3 * 2) * (3 * 3) = 3 * 0 = 3 \notin A$.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	1
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Then, by using computer programming, it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI-algebra and $N = \{0, 1\}$ is normal. Moreover, we have that $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is a QI-subalgebra and QI-ideal of X . Since $3 * 3 = 0 \in M$, $2 * 3 = 2 \in M$ and $(3 * 2) * (3 * 3) = 3 * 0 = 3 \notin M$, we have that M is not normal.

Lemma 3.6. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ and $x, y \in N$. If $x * y \in N$, then $y * x \in N$.

Proof. Assume that $x * y \in N$. Since N is QI-subalgebra of X , it follows that $y * y = 0 \in N$. The fact that $y * y, x * y \in N$ and N is normal implies that $y * x = (y * x) * 0 = (y * x) * (y * y) \in N$. □

Definition 3.7. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. A relation \sim_N is defined by for each $x, y \in X$,

$$x \sim_N y \text{ if and only if } x * y \in N.$$

Proposition 3.8. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then \sim_N is a congruence relation on X .

Proof. Let $x, y, z, w \in X$. Since $x * x = 0 \in N$, we have that $x \sim_N x$. This means that \sim_N is reflexive. From Lemma 3.6, it follows that $x \sim_N y$ implies $y \sim_N x$. Thus \sim_N is symmetric. To show that \sim_N is transitive, assume that $x \sim_N y$ and $y \sim_N z$. Then $x * y, y * z \in N$. Since \sim_N is symmetric, $z * y \in N$. This implies that

$$x * z = (x * z) * 0 = (x * z) * (y * y) \in N$$

because $x * y, z * y \in N$ and N is normal. Therefore, \sim_N is an equivalence relation on X .

Next, we will show that \sim_N is a congruence relation on X . Assume that $x \sim_N y$ and $z \sim_N w$. Then $x * y, y * z \in N$. Since N is normal, $(x * z) * (y * w) \in N$. That is $x * z \sim_N y * w$, as required. □

Definition 3.9. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ and $x \in X$. A congruence class $[x]_N$ of X is denoted to be the set $\{y \in X : x \sim_N y\}$. Define X/N to be the set of all congruence class of X . That is

$$X/N = \{[x]_N : x \in X\}.$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward, we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.10. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$[x]_N = [y]_N \text{ if and only if } x \sim_N y$$

Theorem 3.11. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then the binary operation $*'$ on X/N defined by

$$[x]_N *' [y]_N = [x * y]_N,$$

for all $x, y \in X$, makes $(X/N, *', [0]_N)$ into a QI-algebra. Moreover, $[0]_N = N$.

Proof. First, we will show that $*'$ is well-defined. Let $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $[x_1]_N = [x_2]_N$ and $[y_1]_N = [y_2]_N$.

Then $x_1 \sim_N x_2$ and $y_1 \sim_N y_2$. Since \sim_N is a congruence relation, $x_1 * y_1 \sim_N x_2 * y_2$. From Lemma 3.10, it can be concluded that $[x_1 * y_1]_N = [x_2 * y_2]_N$, i.e., $[x_1]_N *' [y_1]_N = [x_2]_N *' [y_2]_N$, as required.

Next, we will show that the axioms of QI-algebra are satisfied. Let $x, y \in X$.

$$(QI1) [x]_N *' [x]_N = [x * x]_N = [0]_N,$$

$$(QI2) [x]_N *' [0]_N = [x * 0]_N = [x]_N,$$

$$(QI3) [x]_N *' ([y]_N *' ([x]_N *' [y]_N)) = [x * (y * (x * y))]_N = [x * y]_N = [x]_N *' [y]_N.$$

$$\text{Moreover, } [0]_N = \{x \in X : x \sim_N 0\} = \{x \in X : x * 0 \in N\} = \{x \in X : x \in N\} = N. \quad \square$$

The QI-algebra X/N discussed in the above theorem is called the *quotient QI-algebra* of X by N . Note that the normality of N is required in order to show that \sim_N is a congruence relation which implies that X/N is a QI-algebra.

In order to state the isomorphism theorem, the definition of homomorphism in QI-algebra was provided as follows.

Definition 3.12. Let $(X, *, 0_x)$ and $(Y, \square, 0_y)$ be QI-algebras. A *QI-homomorphism* is a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$f(x * y) = f(x) \square f(y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. An injective QI-homomorphism is called *QI-monomorphism*, a surjective QI-homomorphism is called *QI-epimorphism*. A *QI-isomorphism* is a QI-homomorphism which is bijective. We write $X \cong Y$ if there exists a QI-isomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

The kernel of the QI-homomorphism f , denoted by $\ker f$, is the set of elements of X that map to 0_y .

Proposition 3.13. Let N be a normal QI-subalgebra of a QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then the mapping $\pi : X \rightarrow X/N$ given by

$$\pi(x) = [x]_N,$$

for all $x \in X$, is a QI-epimorphism and $\ker \pi = N$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\pi(x * y) = [x * y]_N = [x]_N *' [y]_N = \pi(x) *' \pi(y).$$

Hence π is a QI-homomorphism. Since

$$\pi(X) = \{\pi(x) : x \in X\} = \{[x]_N : x \in N\} = X/N,$$

π is a QI-epimorphism. □

The mapping π in the above proposition is called the *canonical homomorphism* of X onto X/N .

Proposition 3.14. Let $(X, *, 0_x)$, $(Y, \square, 0_y)$ be QI-algebras and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a QI-homomorphism and $A \subseteq X$. Then

(i) $f(0_x) = 0_y$.

(ii) If f is a QI-monomorphism, then $\ker f = \{0_x\}$.

(iii) $\ker f$ is a QI-subalgebra of X .

(iv) If A is a QI-subalgebra of X , then $f(A)$ is a QI-subalgebra of Y .

Proof. (i) $f(0_x) = f(0_x * 0_x) = f(0_x) \square f(0_x) = 0_y$.

(ii) Assume that f is a QI-monomorphism. It follows from (i) that $0_x \in \ker f$. To show the converse inclusion, let $x \in \ker f$. Then $f(x) = 0_y = f(0_x)$. Since f is injective, $x = 0_x$. Hence $\ker f = \{0_x\}$.

(iii) Let $x, y \in \ker f$. Then $f(x) = 0_y = f(y)$. Thus $f(x * y) = f(x) \square f(y) = 0_y \square 0_y = 0_y$. Hence $x * y \in \ker f$.

(iv) Suppose that A is a QI-subalgebra of X . Let $x, y \in f(A)$. Then $x = f(a)$ and $y = f(b)$ for some $a, b \in A$.

Since A is a QI-subalgebra, $x \square y = f(a) \square f(b) = f(a * b) \in f(A)$. Hence $f(A)$ is a QI-subalgebra of Y . \square

The following example shows that $\ker f$ is not normal, in general.

Example 3.15. Consider a QI-algebra in Example 3.4. Define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Then f is a QI-homomorphism and $\ker f = \{0\}$, which is a QI-subalgebra of X but not normal since $2 * 1 = 0, 3 * 1 = 0$ and $(2 * 3) * (1 * 1) = 2 * 0 = 2 \notin \ker f$.

Definition 3.16. A QI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be a QI_1 -algebra if for each $x, y \in X$,

$$x * y = 0 = y * x \text{ implies } x = y.$$

Example 3.17. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Then, by using computer programming, it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI_1 -algebra.

Proposition 3.18. Let $(X, *, 0_x)$ be a QI_1 -algebra, $(Y, \square, 0_y)$ a QI-algebra and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ a QI-homomorphism. Then ϕ is QI-monomorphism if and only if $\ker \phi = \{0_x\}$.

Proof. The necessity part is Proposition 3.14 (ii). To prove the sufficiency part, assume that $\ker \phi = \{0_x\}$. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. Then $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) \square \phi(y) = \phi(x) \square \phi(x) = 0_y$. That is $x * y \in \ker \phi$. Similarly, we

can show that $y * x \in \ker f$. Since X is a QI_1 -algebra, $x = y$. Hence ϕ is injective. \square

Proposition 3.19. Let M and N be normal QI -subalgebras of a QI -algebra $(X, *, 0)$ such that $N \subseteq M$. Then M/N is a normal QI -subalgebra of X/N .

Proof. Let $[x_1]_N *' [x_2]_N, [y_1]_N *' [y_2]_N \in M/N$. Then $[x_1 * x_2]_N, [y_1 * y_2]_N \in M/N$. That is $x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2 \in M$. Since M is normal, $(x_1 * x_2) * (y_1 * y_2), (x_1 * y_1) * (x_2 * y_2) \in M$. Thus $[(x_1 * x_2) * (y_1 * y_2)]_N, [(x_1 * y_1) * (x_2 * y_2)]_N \in M/N$. Hence $([x_1]_N *' [x_2]_N) *' ([y_1]_N *' [y_2]_N), ([x_1]_N *' [y_1]_N) *' ([x_2]_N *' [y_2]_N) \in M/N$. Therefore, M/N is a normal QI -subalgebra of X/N . \square

In Example 3.5, we have shown that a QI -ideal need not be normal.

Definition 3.20. Let I be a QI -ideal of a QI -algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then X is called a *normal QI -ideal* of X if it is normal.

Example 3.21. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	0
1	1	0	1	1
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	2	3	0

Then, by using computer programming, it is easy to check that $(X, *, 0)$ is a QI -algebra and $I = \{0, 3\}$ is a normal QI -ideal.

Proposition 3.22. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a QI -algebra and $I \subseteq X$. Then I is a normal QI -subalgebra of X if and only if I is a normal QI -ideal of X .

Proof. The sufficiency part follows from Proposition 3.3. To prove the necessity part, let $x, y \in X$ such that $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$. Since I is a QI -subalgebra, $0 \in I$. Since $0, y \in I$ and I is a QI -subalgebra, we have that $0 * y \in I$. Since I is normal, $x = x * 0 = (x * 0) * 0 = (x * 0) * (y * y) \in I$. Therefore, I is a QI -ideal of X . \square

Proposition 3.23. Let $(X, *, 0_X), (Y, \square, 0_Y)$ be QI -algebras and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a QI -homomorphism. Then $\ker f$ is a QI -ideal of X .

Proof. Since $f(0_X) = 0_Y$, we have that $0_X \in \ker f$. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x * y \in \ker f$ and $y \in \ker f$. Then

$f(x) = f(x) \square 0_Y = f(x) \square f(y) = f(x * y) = 0_Y$. Thus $x \in \ker f$. Hence $\ker f$ is a QI -ideal of X .

In Example 3.15, we have shown that a kernel of a QI-homomorphism need not be normal.

Definition 3.24. Let $(X, *, 0_X)$, $(Y, \square, 0_Y)$ be QI-algebras and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a QI-homomorphism. We say that f is a normal QI-homomorphism if $\ker f$ is a normal QI-ideal of X .

Theorem 3.25. (The first isomorphism theorem on QI-algebras) Let $(X, *, 0_X)$ and $(Y, \square, 0_Y)$ be QI_1 -algebras. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a normal QI-homomorphism, then

$$X /_{\ker \varphi} \cong \varphi(X)$$

Proof. Since φ is a normal QI-homomorphism, $\ker \varphi$ is normal. Then $X /_{\ker \varphi}$ is a quotient QI-algebra of X by $\ker \varphi$. Let $K = \ker \varphi$. Define a mapping $\phi : X /_K \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\phi([x]_K) = \varphi(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. We will show that ϕ is well-defined. Let $[x]_K = [y]_K \in X /_K$. Then $x \sim_K y$. It follows that $x * y, y * x \in K$. Thus $\varphi(x) \square \varphi(y) = \varphi(x * y) = 0 = \varphi(y * x) = \varphi(y) \square \varphi(x)$. Since Y is QI_1 -algebra, $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$. That is $\phi([x]_K) = \phi([y]_K)$. Since $\phi([x]_K * [y]_K) = \phi([x * y]_K) = \varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) \square \varphi(y) = \phi([x]_K) \square \phi([y]_K)$, we have that ϕ is QI-homomorphism. Next, we will prove that ϕ is injective. Clearly, $[0]_K \in \ker \phi$. Let $[x]_K \in \ker \phi$. Then $\varphi(x) = \phi([x]_K) = 0_Y$. Thus $x * 0 = x \in K$. That is $x \sim_K 0_X$. It follows that $[x]_K = [0_X]_K$. Hence $\ker \phi = \{[0]_K\}$. It implies by Proposition 3.18 that ϕ is QI- monomorphism. Therefore, $X /_K \cong \varphi(X)$.

□

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referees for their constructive suggestions and valuable comments which improved the standard of this paper.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Abdalhussein M. S., "On a Fuzzy Completely Closed Filter with Respect of Element in a BH-algebra" Asian Journal of Applied Science, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 467-476, 2017.
- [2] Ahn S. S., Ko J. M., Saeid A. B., "On Ideals of BI-algebras", J. Indones. Math. Soc., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 24-34, 2019.
- [3] Bandaru R. K., "On QI-algebras", Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl., vol. 37, pp. 137-145, 2017.
- [4] Imai Y., Iseki K., "On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi", XIV, Proc. Japan Acad., vol. 42, pp. 19-22, 1966.
- [5] Iseki K., "On BCI-algebras", Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ., vol. 8, pp. 125-130, 1980.
- [6] Jun Y. B., Roh E. H., Kim H. S., "On BH-algebras". Scientiae Mathematicae, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 347-354, 1998.
- [7] Megalai M., Tamilarasi A., "TM-algebra-An Introduction", Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications, CASCT, pp. 17-23, 2010.
- [8] Neggers J., Ahn S. S., Kim H. S., "On Q-algebras", Int. J. Math. And Math. Sci., vol 27, pp. 749-757, 2001.
- [9] Neggers J., Kim H. S., "On B-algebras", Mate. Vesnik, vol 54, pp. 21-29, 2002.
- [10] Neggers J., Kim H. S., "On d-algebras", Mathematica Slovaca, vol 49, pp. 19-26, 1999.
- [11] Prabpayak C., "Some Homomorphism Properties of TM-algebras", Applied Mechanics and Material, vol. 866, pp. 406-409, 2017.
- [12] Prabpayak C., Leerawat U., "On Ideal and Conguences in KU-algebras", Sci.Magna, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 54-57, 2009
- [13] Prabpayak C., Leerawat U., "On Isomorphisms of KU-algebras", Sci.Magna, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 25-31, 2009

- [14] Saeid A. B., Kim H. S., Razaeei A., “On BI-algebras” *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta*, vol. 25, pp. 177-194, 2017.
- [15] Saeid A. B., Fatemidokht H., Flaut C., Rafsanjani M.K., “Some connections between BCK-algebras and n-ary block codes”, *Soft Comput.*, vol. 22, pp. 41-46, 2018.
- [16] Saeid A. B., Flaut C., Mayerova S. K., Afshar M., Rafsanjani M.K., “On codes based on BCK-algebras”, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 2133-2137, 2015.
- [17] Saeid A. B., Motamed S., “A new filter in BL-algebras”, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 2949-2957, 2014.
- [18] Saeid A. B., Zahiri S., “Radicals in MTL-algebras”, *Fuzzy Set Syst.*, vol. 236, pp. 91-103, 2014.